

# GRIEVANCE REDRESSAL FORUM, BOLANGIR

(Infront of Children's Park),

BOLANGIR-767001, Tel./Fax:-(06652) 235741

E-mail: grfwcsco.bgr@rcdiffmail.com/ Grf.bolangir@tpwesternodisha.com

Bench: Er. Kumuda Bandhu Sahu (President),

Sri Prasanta Kumar Sahoo (Member (Finance)), Sri Krupasindhu Padhee, (Co-Opted Member)

Memo No.GRF/BGR/Order/ 320

Dated, the 23/

Corum:

Er. Kumuda Bandhu Sahu

Sri Prasanta Kumar Sahoo Sri Krupasindhu Padhee President

Member (Finance) Co-Opted Member

1	Case No.	Complaint Case No. BGR/25	2/2025				
2	Complainant/s	Name & Address		Consumer No   Contact No		t No.	
		Sri Krushna Sahu,		912421150204	9556313728		
		For Sri Parshuram Sahu,		712121120201	223031.	3720	
		At-Lachut, Po-Saintala,					
		Dist-Bolangir					
		Name S.D.O (Elect.), TPWODL, Saintala		Division			
3	Respondent/s			Titilagarh Electrical Division,		on.	
				TPWODL, Titilagarh			
4	Date of Application	19.04.2025					
5	In the matter of-	1. Agreement/Termination	2. Billi	2. Billing Disputes   √		1	
		3. Classification/Reclassi-		4. Contract Demand / Connected			
		fication of Consumers		Load			
		5. Disconnection /		6. Installation of Equipment &			
		Reconnection of Supply 7. Interruptions		apparatus of Consumer  8. Metering			
		9. New Connection		10. Quality of Supply & GSOP			
		11. Security Deposit / Interest	12. Shift	12. Shifting of Service Connection &			
		equi		oments			
		13. Transfer of Consumer	14. Volta	14. Voltage Fluctuations			
		Ownership 15 Oct 16 Oct					
	15. Others (Specify) –						
6		(s) of Electricity Act, 2003 involved					
7	OERC Regulation(s)	1. OERC Distribution (Conditions of Supply) Code,2019;					
	with Clauses	Clause(s) 155, 157  2. OERC Distribution (Licensee's Standard of Performance) Regulations 2004					
		2. OERC Distribution (Licensee's Standard of Performance) Regulations, 2004; Clause					
		3. OERC Conduct of Business) Regulations, 2004; Clause					
		4. Odisha Grid Code (OGC) Regulation, 2006; Clause					
		5. OERC (Terms and Conditions for Determination of Tariff) Regulations, 2004;					
		Clause					
0	Data ( ) CVI	6. Others					
9	Date(s) of Hearing	19.04.2025					
-	Date of Order	23.04.2025					
10	Order in favour of	Complainant   √ Respondent Others					
11	The second secon						
awarded, if any.							

CO-OPTED MEMBER

MEMBER (Fin.)

PRESIDENT

Page 1 of 3

Place of Hearing:

Camp Court at Saintala

Appeared:

For the Complainant

-Sri Krushna Sahu

For the Respondent

-Sri Ansuman Sahoo, S.D.O (Elect.), Saintala

# Complaint Case No. BGR/252/2025

Sri Krushna Sahu, For Sri Parshuram Sahu, At-Lachut, Po-Saintala, Dist-Bolangir Con. No. 912421150204

**COMPLAINANT** 

-Versus-

Sub-Divisional Officer, Electrical Sub-Division, TPWODL, Saintala

**OPPOSITE PARTY** 

## ORDER (Dt.23.04.2025)

#### **HISTORY OF THE CASE**

The Complaint petition filed by the representative of the consumer Shri Krushna Sahu who is a LT-Dom. consumer availing a CD of 2 KW. He has disputed about the erroneous bills raised during Oct-2015 to Feb-2016 where the meter was in running condition. He has filed his grievances for revision of bill. The complainant needs suitable bill revision for the said period.

The case was heard in detail.

#### PROCEEDING OF HEARING DATED: 19.04.2025

## SUBMISSION OF COMPLAINANT DURING HEARING

The complainant is a consumer under Saintala section of Saintala Sub-division. The consumer represented that he has been served with erroneous bills during Oct-2015 to Feb-2016 where the meter was running. For that, the total outstanding has been accumulated to ₹ 11,336.94p upto Mar.-2025. The complainant raised dispute against the said period and requested before the Forum for suitable revision of the bill.

# SUBMISSION OF OPPOSITE PARTY DURING HEARING

The OP appeared before the Forum with relevant record. On defence, he intimated that the consumer is a LT-Domestic consumer availing power supply since Jun-2014. The billing dispute raised by the complainant for the erroneous billing from Oct-2015 to Feb-2016 is a genuine dispute. During the said disputed period, the meter was running but due to erroneous meter status punched by the concerned meter reader, the consumer was billed on average basis. As the above-stated period bill has not been revised, it needs bill revision.

Considering the above, the OP requested before the Forum for revision of previous disputed IENT Seloular bills and pass order as deemed fit.

# FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS OF THE FORUM

The consumer is a LT-Dom. consumer with a CD of 2 KW. The consumer has availed power supply since 10<sup>th</sup> Jun. 2014 and total outstanding upto Mar.-2025 is ₹ 11,336.94p. As complained by the complainant and submission of OP, it is observed by the Forum that,

1. The consumer represented that erroneous billing has been done from Oct-2015 to Feb-2016 which needs bill revision as per actual meter reading.

The OP admitted the complaint and submitted that due to erroneous meter status punched by the concerned meter reader in the above-stated period, the consumer was billed with average basis instead of meter reading basis. The meter status has been rectified in Mar.-2016 with CMR: 600. Thereafter actual billing has been done. The defective billing period needs bill revision as per actual consumption of the meter.

In the instant case, it is surprised that the OP has allowed the consumer to continue with defective meter status for five months where the meter was running with OK status in the field for which the consumer was raised dispute. Due to delay in resolve the grievances by the OP, average billing was done which could have been avoided for which it is advised to the OP to be taken care in future.

During the course of hearing, the OP has admitted with the billing complaints and initiated bill revision process on the spot observing departmental guidelines. Accordingly, the monthly bill has been recalculated with the consumption and an amount of ₹ 748.08p is to be withdrawn from the arrear outstanding.

2. The complainant has not paid the monthly bill regularly for which the total has been accumulated to ₹ 11,336.94p upto Mar.-2025.

In view of above facts and circumstances and after going through the documents submitted by both the parties, the Forum pronounces the following order as per regulations of the OERC Distribution (Conditions of Supply) Code 2019.

The OP was agreed with the billing dispute and revised the bill on spot and the petitioner was convinced with the proposed withdrawal amount of  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$  748.08. Hence, the Forum directed the OP to carry-out the revision proposal and must be reflected in the next bill.

Case is disposed off accordingly.

Compliance report must be submitted to the Forum by the opposite party within one month after receipt of GRF order otherwise it will be treated as non-compliance.

CO-OPTED MEMBER

P.K.SAHOO MEMBER (Fin.) K.B.SAHU PRESIDENT

Copy to: -

- 1. Sri Krushna Sahu, At-Lachut, Po-Saintala, Dist-Bolangir-767032.
- 2. Sub-Divisional Officer, Electrical Sub-Division, TPWODL, Saintala.
- 3. DFM/ AFM/ JFM, Titilagarh Electrical Division, TPWODL, Titilagarh.
- 4. Superintending Engineer, Electrical Circle, TPWODL, Bolangir.
- 5. Chief Legal, Head Quarter Office, TPWODL, Burla.

The order is also available at TPWODL Web site; towesternodisha.com  $\rightarrow$  customer zone  $\rightarrow$  Grievance Redressal Forum  $\rightarrow$  BOLANGIR  $\rightarrow$  (GRF CASE NO.)

"If the Complainant is aggrieved with this order or non-implementation of the order of the Grievance Redressal Forum in time, he/she can make the representation to the Ombudsman-II, Qrs. No.3R-2(S), GRIDCO Colony, P.O:Bhoinagar, Bhubaneswar-751022 within 30 days from the date of order of the Grievance Redressal Forums."